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# Mr. ADGATE's NEW PLAN of SOLFAING, Examined. 

-10nnownernererran-

THERE has lately appeared in America, a new and improved plan of Solfaing, by Andrew Adgate, P. U. A ; and as the public are not fufficiently acquainted either with the man or his work, I fhall make no apology for announcing them.

Before I enter upon the examination of Mr. Adgate's plan, I fhall give a fimple explanation of his title P. U. A.
Mr. Adgate has taught a common finging fchool in Philadelphia, where fchools ofevery kind frequently attain the name of Academies. Mr, Adgate called his, the Uranian Academy, and himfelf the Prefident. But the teachers of fuch fchools, are commonly called, Maiters; not Prefidents. Mr. Adgate muft therefore have affumed the epithet of Prefident, or borrowed it from a foreign inititution; thus becoming the Prefident of the Uranian Academy, P. U. A. And as each of thefe way s partake equally of eafe and propristy, we are at liberty to afcribe his choice to which we pleafe. Here then, the origin of Mr. Adgaie's degree, or title is inveftigated. I will beftow a few words upon the importance of it. The fchool which Mr. Adgate taught, was never incorporated, and there are a thoufand fchools of equal importance in the United States. In many of thefe fchools the inftructors are frequently changed, and every perfon who has ever had the charge of one of them, has an equal right to a title with Mir. Adgate. Were the generality of finging Mafters either vain enough to adopt an infig ificant title, Mr. Adgate would undoubtedly have a right to the privilege, without being called to an account for his conduct. Becoming a co minon thing, and being undertood by the public, it would immediately ceafe to be an impofition.

But I will haften to an examination of Mr. Adgate's new and improved plan. As yet, I have only noticed his name as it tlands, connected with his title. But if he has merit in his work, it fhall be credited to him. The fplendor of his abilities fhall be made a cloak for his follies, and his faults thall be overlooked amidt hisgreat and ufefuldifcoveries and improvements.

He is pleafed to call his plan, a now one ; alledging, that the old Britifh mode is totally rejected. But as to the novelty of his fcheme, I fhall take the liberty of contradicting him. It is not a new plan. It has been known for ages in Italy and other countries; and the efiential part of it has long fince undergone a trial, and been rejected by thofe very Britons, whofe old mode our author boaits of difplacing by bis new. The truth of this affertion is fufficiently evinced by Mufic books publifhed in England. Two of thefe bouks, I was poffeffed of, long before Mr. Adgate knew a fyllable about Mufic ; and upwards of eight years have elapfed, fince I was myfelf folicited to publifh this method. For reafons, as I thought, abundantly fufficient, I refufed. Where then is the propriety of Mr. Adgate's calling his plan a new plan ? It is, indeed, a little extraordinary, that he fhould adopt an obfolete fyftem and claim it, as an invention of his own. But even this is not new. The fame farce had been acted before. Ethan Allen had revived, and republifhed the, Oracles of Reafon, as a new plan; and Andrew Adgate has revived, and republifhed, fa fola, ba do na, as a new plan. But fince he has chofen to adopt it, as his own, he may take it to himfelf; nobody will difpute with him for the bonour of the invention; and nobody will believe that he was the inventor. However, by claimingit as his own, he has at leaft taken upon himfelf to defend it; and he has afferted, not only the novelty, but the improvement of the plan. Leaving him to reconcile the poffibility of a plan's being new, and yet at the fame inftant, improvid, I fhall-proceed to enquire, in what refpects it is preferable to the common method.

Parmit me, however, previoufly to remark, that a very ftrong prefumption arifes againft this fyftem, on account of its having been tried and rejected in England. But as Mr. Adgate thinks it beautiful, and as it has gained fome ground in America, we will give it an examination.

Having told us, that his plan poffeffes many advantages above the old Britifh method, Mr. Adgate has mentioned only two. One of thefe refpects the addition of finging fyllables; and the other, the acquifition of the femi-tones; the latter of which is no ways applicable to bis, any niore than to the common method, as I fhall have occafion to fhow after I have confidered the former. Inflead of repeating faw fol law, in each octave, he has added, ba, do, na, and he afferts, that great confufion will be avoided, by means of affociating with each fyllable the idea of its proper found. But did not Mr. Adgate know, that fyl-
lables were not the figns of mufical iounds? It is the fituation of the notes upon the fave, which determines their founde. The fyllables are only the mediums, thro which fuch founds are expreffed ; and they may be expreffed wishout the fyllables, as is the cafe every time a tune is fung to the words of a pfalm, where neither faw fol law, nor ba do na, occur. i) id ne, as Mr. Adgate intimates, aifociate with each fyllabie, the idea of its proper found ; and were there no more founds, than he has ufed fyllabies, we might as well reject ohe lines and fpaces, and learn to fing, merely by the interchanges of faw ful iaw, ba do na, mi. Having difcovered, that the repetition of finging fyllables was the caufe of great perplexity to the purit, he proceeds to illuftrate it by an example ; and the inftance he has given, tho it makes directly againthim, was as much to his purpofe as any he could have chofen. Set a learner, fays he, to fing at frit fight, after he has made a tolerable proficiency, and if he is founding fol above the key note, and faw, the fourth above the key follows, he will defcend to faw, the key note! We fould judge, that a pupil was not a tolerable proficient till he knew the difference between rifing and falling. Ilis ear muft be very bad indeed, and his judgment fill worfe, if he couid not, by the help of an able infructor, immediatelydiliove the diference ; even if there were so lines and fpaces to affit his conception. But when the ftave is before his eyes ; when he fees, that a note is fituated upon a line, or a fpace, three notes higher than the one he has jult founded, can it be fuppofed, that he will give it the found of fawi the ley note? It is by means of our tight, contemplia- $\qquad$ ting the pofition of a note upon the ftave, that we muft get the idea of the found, which it reģurtes; and when it is foundul, it is by means of our hearing, that we mutt get the idea of the found, which is actually given it. In neither cafe are we at all dependant upon the fyllaile with which it is connecteid, or exprefed. Syllatls, in mufic, arenot the figms of fartivisar founds ; as names, in language, are the figns of particular things; and I fhall have occation to prove, that, even Mr. Adgate's fyllables'are far from being the figns of certain founds. A fingle fyllable is fuincient to expref's thepw hole varicty of muficai founds. Does it then become a queftion why four fyllables are ufed initead of one: The anfiver is eaiy. To confult con:criency by means of variety. Were it not tirefome to repeat one fyllable continuaily, there would be no need of more than cne. But conflant experience proves, that it is tirefome, ard that more than one are neceilary. By the ufe of four fyllables, mi, faw follaw, the variety is found to be fuficient; and thefe fyllables fang aiscording to the directions, which I have given, are admirabiy calculated for the purpofe to which they are appropriated. For tho, tiey can not ay:if us in atiaining founds, yet, they may, and do affift us in making thofe founds, when attained. They affift in forming the organis of found into a pofition for making more open, foft and fmooth tones.

But even if we fhould violate every dictate of reafon and expericace, and fippofe it neceffary to increafe the common num-
ber of inging fyllables, Mr. Adgate has been extremely injudicious in the addition, which he has made ; and I muft do the Itaian writers uppon the fubject the jultice of remarking, that, inftead of malking any improvem.nt, or inventing any new fyf$\mathrm{tcm}, \mathrm{Mr}$. Adgate has really adulterated their old one. For, inftead of copying their addition, fi do ra, he has changed fi into ba, and ra into na ; neither of which is fo good as the original. If the organs of found are put into a pefition for exprefing a note by the fyllable ba ordo, the voice will be forced fuddenly upon the expreffion, and it will inevitably produce a blunt, dead found, much like the found of a Black finith's hammer upon his anvil. Mr. Adgate, (having been accultomed to fuch founds,) may have no objections apon this account ; but to others, the objection will certainly be formidable. The found of na is a nafa! found, and leads to a habit of finging through the nofe; and the mi, as he pronounces it (me,) inclines to a habit of finging thro the teeth : habits which deftroy the beauty of mufic, and which ought to be fedulu ify avoided.

Thus far Mr. Adgate is incoaliftent with nature ; but he is doubly inconfiftent, for he is not even confitent with bimfelf. A crazy man, who imagine. that his brdy is made of ghafs, is very careful about ftriking againt any thing, left he fhouid dain it to pieces. He reafons confittently, admitting his premifes to be gəod ; but Mr. Adgate does not do fo much. Having fet out with a falfe principle, he ftups finort in his way ; neither retrating it, nor carrying it through. He tal's about affociating wit? each fyllable the idea of its proper found, and yet he gives us but feven fyllables, as though there were no more than fevcn founts. This can never be admitted; and even Mr. Adgate is more fober, as often as he looies fight of his falfe theory. He teils us, that the C cliff is the eleventh, and the $G$ cliff in the treble the iffeenth found; aniI repeatedly afferts, that the extent of the human voice, from the bafs to the treble inclufive, comprehends three octaves, or twenty two founds. If then he would affociate to each fyllable the idea of a certain foand, intead of feren, he muft, upon his own footing, we twenty two fyllables; exclutive of the femi tones. He entirely confounds the ufe of the terms, notes, founds, aid/jllabls; for he afferts, that experinnce reaches us to alfociate certain fyllables with the ideas of their proper founds. The tact is, we never do aifociate a certain fyllaisle wirh the idea of a proper found. Experience teaches us to know what founds, notes, differently fituate upon the Itave, ftand for ; or rather, our feeing the notes upon the ita ee excites in our minds the ideas of the proper tounds, by wrich they are to be expreffed; and when they are once excited, they may be expreffed by one, or by four, or by feven, or hy all the fyllables in a com:non pfalin. The ideas of the founds being excited, we can as well exprefs one found by taw, the key note, and another by faw, the fourtb above the key upon the common method, as we can exprefs one feund by faw, and another, at an o.fazus dyiunce, by faw, upon Nir. Adgate's method.

Will he contradict himfelf where he is right, and attempt to maintain himfelf where he is wrong, by endeavoring to prove, that there are but feven founds; and that, he has given a name to each of them ? It will be of no avail to him to fly to the philofophers for thelter. They would be afhamed to proteit him. They have told us indeed, that there are feven kinds of founds, differing in their natures and general properties; but I believe, it was left for Mr. Adgate to difcover, that there were abfolutely no more than fewen founds. Had the philofophers told us, that there were but feven different kinds of animals upon the earth, would it have followed that there were but/even animals? The kinds might have been feven, while the number of diftinct, proper animals might have been unlinited; as the kinds of founds are feven, while the number of diftinct and proper founds is unlimited. Had a man undertaken to give names to each of thefe diftinet and proper animals, the number of his names muft have been unlimited; and had Mr. Adgate carried his plan through, and affociated a fyllable to each diftinet and proper fourd, his fyllable mutt have teen unlimited; I may add, unintelligibly confufed.

I have now confidered the grand fuperiority of Mr . Adgate's plan, as far as it is placed in the addition of three finging fyllables. There is one thing more, upon which confiderable ftrefs is laid, and it fhall not be neglected. It is the method, which he has adopted for the purpofe of acquiring the femi-tones. He propofes altering the fyllables, fa, fo, ba, do, into fe, fe, be de. But this, as I before hinted, is not at all peculiar to bis fyitem; for it would be as eafy upon the common method to change faw, fol into fe , fe in the repetition, as to change ba, do into be, de upon his plan. His propofed altcration, he has taken from the Germans, who fing by letter. In practifing mufic, they make ufe of feven letters; and for the accidental flats and 凸arps, they introduce thofe, which are different, calling them, Hat and fharp letters. But before Mr. Adgate proceeded to calculate upon the advantages of his propofal, he ought to have proved, that it was more eafy to fharp a found by the fyllables, fe and fe, than by faw and fol. The accidental femi-tones are not to be exclufirely expreffed by any particular kind of fyllables; iffo, they could not be fung to the various fyllables in a pfalm or hymn, to which they indifcriminately fall. They are difcovered by means of the flats, fharps and naturals inferted in a tune, and where once difcovered, they" may be fung as well, and even better by faw, than by fe. Feeing a found does not fharp it. Mr. Adgate, in treating upon femitones, feems to have continued his inconfiftency of imrgining a kind of a necerary connection between founds, and the fyllables by which they are expreffed; and accordingly, when the me (mi) is preceded by an accidental flat, or natural, he tells us to, marv it ; that is, give it a duiler found. This feems to be going upon the prefumption, that it is always a fharp found, when exprefled by the fyllable, me. But the founds ofme, fe, fe, de, and thelike, are not fharp founds ; unlefs finging through the teeth, is what conftitutes the Marpnefs of founds. That thefe fyllables are dentals, will be allowed: and that, if ufed is
finging, they will lead to a habit of finging through the teeth, can not be denied; but, that comprefling the teeth together, and forcing the found violently through them, is what conftitutes the fharping of mufical tones, will be denied. By the various authors, which I have confulted upon the fubject of founds, previous to Mr. Adgate, I have been led to believe, that a round pipe 'would produce a fharped found, as well as a natural or flatted found; but now, I am taught to believe, that it depends upon the flatnefs of the pipe. It is no longer any matter about its fize, or length, for a flat or fharp found depends upon the fhape of the pipe.
I find, that thofe who have adopted Mr. Adgate's plan, inftead of fharping founds properly, only fing them through the teeth; and in this way they fharp founds, where they ought not to be fharped. When ever they fing the fyllable ba, to a note, theytharp it to a degree ; and the found of ba, is as much fharper than the found of faw, as the found of be is fharper than that of ba.

I am very confident, that a perfon will obtain a knowledge of the femi-tones fooner without Mr. Adgate's helps, than with; for fo long as he depends upon the fyllables for his knowledge of the founds, he will not attend to them by his ear ; and until he can clearly difcover the half-tones by his ear, he will inevitably be ignorant of them. I will mention another objection. If the founds of $\mathrm{fe}, \mathrm{fe}, \mathrm{dc}, \mathrm{Sic}$. are fharp founds, thenjall fimilar fyllables will alfo produce fharp founds; and the Englifh language abounds with them, fo that almoft every note in a common plaintune, fung to a pfalin of a moderate length, will be tharped, or fung through the teeth, before the pfalm is concluded. 'This objection is not imaginary. Of its reality, I am certain, from the performance of thofe, who have been taught upon Mr. Adgate's plan. And this objection affects, not plain tunes only ; it alfo extends to all mufic whatfoever. Even mufic, fet to particular words, muft be altered : for, in a great variety of infances, there are of this kind of fyllables applied to notes, which are not fharped. Mr. Adgate afferts, without any foundation, that the common method, in fome inftances deftroys the beauty of compofition; but I think, that his method deftroys the heauty of compofition, almoft univerfally. It is in vain for him to tell us, that we may make ufe of thefe fyllables, as affiftants to learn the fharped notes, and then fing thefe fame, or fimilar fyllables, without fharping the notes, which they are ufed to exprefs.

But after all Mr. Adgate's boafting about his nerw and improved plan, he has led us into a labyrinth, and meanly deferted us, without conducting us through; for, except the mi , he has made no provifion for flatted notes, nor for any, which
are fharped a: the beginning of a tune, and reftored by accidental naturals. Inflances of which are very common in the fublimeft pieces of mufic : but upon Mr. Adgate's plan, we know not what to do with them.

I have now confidered the fuperiority of Mr. Adgate's plan with regard both to finging fyllables, and the femi-tones. Were it to my purpofe, I could eafily fhow, that he is as ignorant of language, as he is of his art ; but it has been my bufinefs to expofe the errors of his fyitem, not his grammatical blunders; and I fhall now take my leave, for the prefent, of the new and in $n_{i}$ roved plan of Solfaing, by Andrew Adgate, P. U. A; and leave the reader to make his own reflections.
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The tef-ti-monies of thygraceI fet be-..fore mine eyes, Thence I de--rive my


(rv

daily ftrength, And there my com..-fort lies. If once I wander from thy path, I think
 (Po J


4
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Now I am thine, for ever thine, o fave thy fer--vant,Lord: Thou art my fhield, my hiding place, My
 (2.0)

hope is in thy word. Thou haft in-clin'd thisheart of mine, Thy fta-tutes to ful-fill, And thustill
录



Known thro' the earth by thou-fand figns: By thou-fand thro' the fkies




De.-i.-ty is known, Nor dares a crea-ture guefs, Which of the glo-.-ries bright-eft ip p p p
 ,

rhone, The juftice or the grace. Now the full glo-ries of the Lamb,


A.-rorn the heavin-ly plains, Bright fe-raphs learn Im--man-u--els name, and


try their choiceft ftrains. o,may $I$ hear fome hum ble part in that im-mor-tal fong.


below the fkies Their humble praifes bring, their humble praifes bring. Let all the
的p:
 mighty luve, His counfel and his care, Preferves us fafe from finand death from fin and death,
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F:
 fnare. He thall piefent his faints un-blem-ilh'd and compleat Before the glo-ry of his face




Then all the choten reed, Shall meet around his throne, Shall blefs the conduct of his grace,

 And make his wonders known, and make his wonders known. To our Redeemer God, to our
 (1) वरि:


Re deemer God, Wifdom and pow'r, wifdom and pow'r, wifdom and pow'r belongs. 2




